

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/074,976	Applicant(s) HARIRI, ROBERT J.	
	Examiner Q. Janice Li, M.D.	Art Unit 1633	

All Participants:

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(2) Lawrence Graham, Appl Rep.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 July 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
possible art rejection
Claims discussed:
55, 58, 59
Prior art documents discussed:
N/A
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Q. JANICE LI, M.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On July 12, the examiner called to indicate product claims may encompass a human placenta because the tissue matrix could be a placenta, and the stem cells are naturally present in a human placenta. The applicant's rep. agreed to consult applicant to make a proper amendment. On July 19, Mr. Graham proposed to insert "decellularized" before tissue matrix and the changes will be made by an examiner's amendment.